

SFC suspends Sky Cheung Shi Gai for 30 months and fines him \$500,000 for regulatory breaches

8 Apr 2013

The Securities and Futures Commission (SFC) has suspended Mr Sky Cheung Shi Gai for 30 months from 4 April 2013 to 3 October 2015 and fined him \$500,000 for trading with a concealed securities account, putting himself in a conflict of interest position and making false and inaccurate declarations in a newspaper investment column (Note 1).

An SFC investigation found that:

- Cheung, while accredited to Quam Securities Company Limited (Quam), failed to disclose a securities trading account in his wife's name. The trades conducted in the concealed account were therefore not subject to Quam's monitoring as required by the Code of Conduct (Note 2 & 3);
- Cheung had put himself in a conflict of interest position by trading in the stocks that were the subject of his column in Apple Daily. From March 2009 to March 2010, Cheung made a profit on numerous occasions by selling part or all of the stocks in his wife's securities accounts, after making positive comments or favourable recommendations in his Apple Daily column on the same day or within three business days after its publication;
- Cheung had instructed his assistant to delay the publication of favourable comments on certain stocks until the stocks were purchased in his wife's accounts; and
- Cheung had made false and inaccurate declarations about the stock holdings in his wife's accounts while writing about these stocks in his investment column in Apple Daily.

The fine imposed on Cheung is approximately equivalent to the profits he made in the above transactions.

End

Notes:

1. Cheung is licensed as a representative accredited to Polaris Securities (Hong Kong) Limited to carry on Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 2 (dealing in futures contracts), Type 4 (advising on securities) and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities since August 2010. Cheung was licensed as a representative accredited to Quam to carry on Types 1, 2 and 9 regulated activities from July 2008 to June 2010.
2. Code of Conduct for Persons Licensed by or Registered with the SFC.
3. Paragraph 12.2(b) of the Code of Conduct provides that any transactions for employees' accounts and related accounts should be separately recorded and clearly identified in the records of the licensed or registered person, and transactions of these accounts should be reported to and actively monitored by senior management of the licensed or registered person who should maintain procedures to detect irregularities and ensure that the handling by the licensed or registered person of these transactions or orders is not prejudicial to the interests of the licensed or registered person's other clients.
4. A copy of the [Statement of Disciplinary Action](#) in relation to the matter is available on the SFC website.

Page last updated : 8 Apr 2013

STATEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION

The Disciplinary Action

1. The Securities and Futures Commission (SFC) has suspended Cheung Shi Gai Sky (Cheung) in relation to all of the regulated activities for which he is licensed for a period of 30 months and to fine him \$500,000 pursuant to section 194 of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (SFO).
2. Cheung's conduct has called into question his fitness and propriety to remain licensed. His failures also constitute breaches of the Code of Conduct for Persons Licensed by or Registered with the Securities and Futures Commission (Code of Conduct).
3. The SFC found that, from March 2009 to March 2010, Cheung has
 - (a) concealed from his ex-employer Quam Securities Company Limited (QSCL) the securities account that was in the name of his wife and maintained with QSCL;
 - (b) put himself in a conflict of interest position by purchasing shares in his wife's accounts before positive comments or favourable recommendations were made in his Apple Daily column with respect to these shares, and sold them shortly after the column was published on numerous days, contrary to his own recommendations; and
 - (c) made false or inaccurate declarations about his stock holdings in his wife's accounts with respect to the stocks covered in his Apple Daily column.

Summary of Facts

4. During the time when Cheung was accredited to QSCL, staff members of Quam Group were required to declare the accounts of their spouse and children under the age of 18 and accounts in which the staff had a beneficial interest.
5. Cheung did not declare the account in his wife's name to QSCL. The trades conducted in the account were therefore not subject to QSCL's monitoring as required by the Code of Conduct.
6. Cheung's wife also had a securities account with Polaris Securities (Hong Kong) Limited at the material time.
7. Cheung was responsible for the trading in his wife's accounts.
8. Between 1 March 2009 and 30 March 2010, on 21 days and 25 occasions, Cheung
 - (a) purchased the stocks in his wife's accounts that would be the subject of positive comments or favourable recommendations in his column shortly before it was published; and

- (b) sold part of or all the stocks in his wife's accounts contrary to his positive comments or favourable recommendations at a profit within the same day to three business days after publication of the column.
9. Cheung's assistant who prepared draft articles for Cheung's column gave evidence that there had been numerous times when Cheung asked him not to cover certain stocks in the column until the next day or later as Cheung and his clients had not purchased the stocks yet.
 10. During the material time, on 2 days and 3 occasions, Cheung declared that he and his clients did not have holding in the stocks mentioned in his commentaries but in fact the stocks were held in his wife's accounts. Further, contrary to his confirmation to QSCL, Cheung had not indicated in his column that the views expressed were personal while claiming that he was a director at QSCL.

Conclusion

11. Having considered the evidence, the SFC is of the view that Cheung's conduct has cast serious doubt on his ability to carry on the regulated activity competently, honestly and fairly, as well as his reputation, character and reliability. Further, his conduct was in breach of General Principle 1 (Honesty and fairness), General Principle 2 (Diligence) and General Principle 6 (Conflicts of Interest) of the Code of Conduct.
12. In coming to the decision to take disciplinary action against Cheung, the SFC has taken into account all relevant considerations, including:
 - (a) A series of arrangements were made by Cheung over a long period of time with the aim to make use of his column at the Apple Daily to advance his/his wife's financial interest. Cheung put himself in a conflict of interest position by purchasing the stocks shortly before favourable comments were published in his column and sold them at a profit shortly after publication of the column. At the material time, a majority of these trades were conducted in his wife's account with QSCL, which he had concealed from QSCL. As a result of the concealment, Cheung had circumvented the monitoring that QSCL had put in place with respect to employee and related accounts.
 - (b) A period of suspension is warranted in order to deter other market practitioners from conducting similar conduct in the future.
 - (c) Cheung has a clean disciplinary record.