

Chung Cheong Kuen, Frankie (“Respondent”), a clerk/legal executive

- *Disgraceful, dishonourable and discreditable conduct*
- *Section 2(2) of the Legal Practitioners Ordinance (Cap. 159) (the “LPO”)*

Hearing date:

5 June 2013, 9 May 2014, 12 March 2015 and

2 December 2016

Findings and Order handed down:

13 September 2017

On 2 December 2016, the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal (the “Tribunal”) found the following Complaints against the Respondent, a clerk/legal executive, proved on his own admission:

1st Complaint

The Respondent committed disgraceful, dishonourable and discreditable conduct within the meaning of s. 2(2) of the LPO, in that between 11 and 17 July 2004 the Respondent conspired with another to pervert the course of justice.

2nd Complaint

The Respondent committed disgraceful, dishonourable and discreditable conduct within the meaning of s. 2(2) of the LPO, in that on 14 July 2004 the Respondent perjured himself in an affirmation in connection with an application for a writ of habeas corpus as the affirmation contained untrue statements.

The Tribunal ordered that:

(a) the Respondent be prohibited from being employed by any solicitor’s firm or foreign law firm in Hong Kong for a period of 10 years; and

(b) the Respondent pay the Law Society’s costs in its investigation, the costs and disbursements of the Clerk to the Tribunal, and the costs of the proceedings of the Prosecutor, on a party and party basis, to be taxed if not agreed.

Mr. Geoffrey Shaw of Messrs. Haley Ho & Partners for the Applicant, The Law Society of Hong Kong

Mr. James McGowan, instructed by Messrs. Liu, Chan and Lam, for the Respondent

Mr. Kenneth H.W. Sit, Clerk to the Tribunal

Tribunal Members:

Mr. Chan Kwai Hong Bucky (Chairman)

Mr. Tong Chor Nam John

Professor Fung Ka Yiu